UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HARRIET NICHOLSON, PLAINTIFF V.
BANK OF NEW YORK, DEFENDANT

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE

CIV.:1:22-CV-03177

Now Comes Plaintiff Harriet Nicholosn and files this Plaintiff's Request To Take Judicial Notice of Related Court records from prior Texas action¹ and would show unto the court the following:

Plaintiff Harriet Nicholson filed this independent action complaining the Texas' Amended Flnal Judgment" did not not comply with the oral rendition of judgment. The Amended Final Judgment was absent of reinstating Plaintiff's ownership rights and awarding any court costs agreed by Mr. Richard Danner, BONY"s counsel.²

The attached itemized bill of costs supports Plaintiff's contention the "Texas' Amended Judgment" did not align with the oral rendition of judgment awarding costs that was agreed and deliberately omitted by Mr. Danner, BONY's counsel in the "Amended Final Judgment."

Dated: March 20, 2024 /s/ Harriet Nicholson

¹ See <u>Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, 394 U.S. 147, 157, 89 S.Ct. 935, 22 L.Ed.2d 162 (1969)</u> (taking judicial notice of record in prior litigation between same parties);

² See Ex. A, Texas' prior action agreed bill of costs by BONY's counsel, Mr. Richard Dwayne Danner

EX. A

MEMO ENDORSED: On March 21, 2024, pro se Plaintiff Harriet Nicholson filed a request for this Court to take judicial notice of certain court documents. (Dkt. No. 134) Previously, on March 18, 2024, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal (Dkt. No. 131) regarding Magistrate Judge Parker's November 7, 2022 Report and Recommendation concerning Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 83); this Court's August 28, 2023 order adopting Judge Parker's Report and Recommendation and dismissing the case (Dkt. No. 105); the Clerk of Court's January 17, 2024 judgment entered against Plaintiff (Dkt. No. 116); and this Court's February 21, 2024 order denying Plaintiff's subsequent requests for relief. (Dkt. No. 128) On March 19, 2024, Plaintiff filed an amended notice of appeal regarding the same matters. (Dkt. No. 133).

Generally, "filing a notice of appeal divests a district court of jurisdiction." <u>Am. Transit Ins. Co. v. Bilyk</u>, 514 F. Supp. 3d 463, 470 (E.D.N.Y. 2021); <u>see Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.</u>, 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982) ("The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance – it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal."). And "[w]here the appeal is from a final judgment, the district court can take only limited action during pendency of the appeal." <u>Satcom Int'l Grp. PLC v. Orbcomm</u> Int'l Partners, L.P., 55 F. Supp. 2d 231, 233 (S.D.N.Y. 1999

Here, Plaintiff's notice of appeal relates to, <u>inter alia</u>, this Court's order granting Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 105), and the final judgment (Dkt. No. 116). As a result of Plaintiff's filing of a notice of appeal, this Court lacks jurisdiction to address Plaintiff's most recent motion. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is denied as moot. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motion (Dkt. No. 134).

SO ORDERED.

Paul G. Gardephe

United States District Judge

Paul 2. Londgete

Date: March 29, 2024

Case 1:22-cv-03177-PGG-KHP³⁴²Document 135 Filed 03/29/24 Page 3 of 17

342-262692-12

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 4/29/2022 1:50 PM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

April 29, 2022

Thomas A. Wilder
Tarrant County District Clerk
100 N. Calhoun St.
Ft. Worth, TX 76196

Via: E-Filing

Re: Court Costs

Nicholson v. Bank of New York Mellon, et al Cause No. 342-262692-12

Dear Mr. Wilder,

This letter is to provide you with an itemized list of costs.

A copy of this filing is being provided to defendants

The itemized Costs are as follows:

• Filing Fees	\$249.00
• Service of Process Fees	\$415.00
• Mediation	\$1,060.00
• Court Report Fees	\$160.00
	Total \$1,874.00

I have attached copy of the trial court's judgment awarding costs and a copy of the email from Timothy Baker and previous letter sent to you and the chain with defendant's counsel working out the proper itemization of costs. Please tax costs against the defendants and send a cost bill to them.

/s/ Harriet Nicholson

342-262692-12 CAUSE NO. 342-262692-12

TARRANT COUNTY 9/15/2020 12:17 PM

HARRIET NICHOLSON,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT	SIRICIC	JLERK
	§			
Plaintiff,	§			
v.	§			
	§	OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXA	.S	
THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON	§			
FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK AS	§	कारण - र्र् सम्बद्धाः ज	2	
TRUSTEE FOR THE	§		020	7
CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF CWMBS,	§	중근	2020 SEP	TARR
INC., CWMBS REFORMING LOAN	§	TR	-0	
REMIC TRUST CERTIFICATES	§	3 🛴	9	37
SERIES 2005-R2, et al.	§	0.		O ITI
	§	id 42	뫒	രധ
Defendants.	§	342nd JUDICIAL DISTRICE	$\ddot{\mathcal{L}}$	E
		E E	80	~
AMENDED F	INAL J	UDGMENT 201		

On this day the Court considered the following: (1) Defendant David Stockman's Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Defendant Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.'s Traditional and No-Evidence Motion for Summary Judgment. After reviewing the motions, all objections and replies filed therewith, the summary judgment evidence presented, arguments of the parties and applicable law, the Court enters the following orders:

- (1) IT IS ORDERED THAT DEFENDANT DAVID STOCKMAN'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS GRANTED:
- (2) IT IS ORDERED THAT DEFENDANT COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.'S TRADITIONAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND NO-EVIDENCE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ARE GRANTED;
- (3) IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT COSTS OF COURT AND ATTORNEYS' FEES ARE TO BE BORNE BY THE PARTY INCURRING SAME.

This Final Judgment, together with the court's prior "Final Judgment" date October 26,

2017, attached hereto as Exhibit A, is incorporated herein and together constitute a final,

appealable judgment. All relief not expressly granted herein is denied.

DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING

A CERTIFIED COPY ATTEST: 10/22/2021

of all parties and all claims

before the

Dige PRESIDING Court.

H 1s, therefore,

a shal and

appealable judgment.

EXHIBIT "A"

CAUSE NO. 342-262692-12

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

V.

OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON
FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK AS
TRUSTEE FOR THE
CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF CWMBS,
INC., CWMBS REFORMING LOAN
REMIC TRUST CERTIFICATES
SERIES 2005-R2, et al.

Defendants.

SIN THE DISTRICT COURT

S

A 342nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT

FINAL JUDGMENT

On October 26, 2017, the Court held a hearing in the above-entitled and numbered cause and entered orders related to all prior matter before the Court. The Court finds that all matters are now resolved and enters this Final Judgement. It is therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Instrument Nos. D212187326 and D214164490, both recorded in the Real Property Records of Tarrant County, Texas, are both INVALID and VOID in all respects. It is further,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this Final Judgment may be recorded in the Real Property Records of Tarrant County, Texas. It is further,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff is awarded court costs in the

amount of \$________ It is further,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all of Plaintiff's remaining claims are

A CERTIFIED COPY
ATTEST: 10/22/2021
THOMAS A. WILDER
DISTRICT CLERK
TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
BY: Jennifer Huynh

dismissed with prejudice. It is further,
ON 10 21117, ALL SERVED VIA:
HAND DELIVERY DOLLY, UNCOME.
MAIL
FAX
SERVE COPIES ON ALL OTHERS
ELINAE JAIN HEED

Page 1

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all relief not expressly granted herein is denied.

SIGNED: October 26, 2017

JUDGE WADE BIRDWELL, PRESIDING

FINAL JUDGMENT



342-262692-12

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/16/2018 12:00 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

Law Office of Timothy E. Baker

1205 S. Greenville Avenue Allen, TX 75002 Phone: 214-727-4956 Fax: 214-506-6015 www.timbakerlaw.com

January 15, 2018

Thomas A. Wilder Tarrant County District Clerk 100 N. Calhoun St. Ft. Worth, TX 76196

Via:

E-Filing

Re:

Court Costs

Nicholson v. Bank of New York Mellon, et al

Cause No. 342-262692-12

Dear Mr. Wilder,

This letter is to provide you with an itemized list of costs. A copy of this filing is being provided to defendants.

The itemized costs are as follows:

•	Filing Fees	\$249.00
•	Service of Process Fees	\$415.00
•	Mediation	\$1,050.00
•	Court Report Fees	\$160.00
	Total	\$1,874.00

I have attached copy of the trial court's judgment awarding costs and a copy of the email chain with defendant's counsel working out the proper itemization of costs.

Please tax costs against the defendants and send a cost bill to them.

Sincerely,

Timothy E. Baker

Trivally & Buky

CAUSE NO. 342-262692-12

HARRIET NICHOLSON, IN THE DISTRICT COURT 8888888 Plaintiff, v. OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF CWMBS, INC., CWMBS REFORMING LOAN REMIC TRUST CERTIFICATES SERIES 2005-R2, et al. Defendants.

FINAL JUDGMENT

342nd JUDICIAL DISTRICT

On October 26, 2017, the Court held a hearing in the above-entitled and numbered cause and entered orders related to all prior matter before the Court. The Court finds that all matters are now resolved and enters this Final Judgement. It is therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Instrument Nos. D212187326 and D214164490, both recorded in the Real Property Records of Tarrant County, Texas, are both INVALID and VOID in all respects. It is further,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this Final Judgment may be recorded in the Real Property Records of Tarrant County, Texas. It is further.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff is awarded court costs in the

_____ It is further,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all of Plaintiff's remaining claims are

dismissed with prejudice. It is further,
ON 10 2017, ALL SERVED VIA: HAND DELIVERY BOLL, Change
MAIL MAIL
FAX
, WHO IS TO
SERVE COPIES ON ALL OTHERS
B. Common
Pomress, anlerzon
Emmess, would som

M

Page 1

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all relief not expressly granted herein is denied.

SIGNED: October 26, 2017

JUDGE WADE BIRDWELL, PRESIDING

FINAL JUDGMENT

Case 1:22-cv-03177-PGG-KHP Document Filed 03/29/24 Page 11 of 17

Subject: RE: Nicholson - Court Costs

From: Danner, Dwayne (ddanner@mcglinchey.com)

To: tbaker@timbakerlaw.com;

Cc: bmccain@mcglinchey.com;

Date: Friday, December 15, 2017 3:02 PM

Tim, The \$1874.00 is agreed, but you still have the state court copy fees listed below.

R. Dwayne Danner

direct: (214) 445-2408 fax: (214) 593-4588 mobile: (214) 693-5433

email: ddanner@mcglinchey.com

office: 6688 N. Central Expressway, Ste 400 | Dallas, TX 75206

9 MGLINCHEY STAFFORD®

bio | vcard | www.mcglinchey.com | www.cafalawblog.com

Alabama California Florida Louisiana Mississippi New York Ohio Texas Washington, DC

From: Tim Baker [mailto:tbaker@timbakerlaw.com]

Sent: Friday, December 15, 2017 10:11 AM

Subject: Re: Nicholson - Court Costs

Dwayne,

So, with the state court copying taken out, we are left with:

- Filing Fees \$249
- Service of Process \$415 (as your count had one additional service of process fee)
- Mediation \$1,050
- State Court Copying \$31.80
- Court Reporter Fees \$160

1982

1/15/2018

Total - \$1,874.00.

Do you agree with this amount

Tim

214-727-4956

From: "Danner, Dwayne" < ddanner@mcglinchey.com>

To: Tim Baker < tbaker@timbakerlaw.com>

Cc: "McCain, Barry" < bmccain@mcglinchey.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 6:32 PM
Subject: RE: Nicholson - Court Costs

Tim – I can't agree to the "state court copying" as it is not a "court cost" although I realize it was a cost to your

R. Dwayne Danner

direct: (214) 445-2408 fax: (214) 593-4588 mobile: (214) 693-5433

email: ddanner@mcglinchey.com

office: 6688 N. Central Expressway, Ste 400 | Dallas, TX 75206



bio | vcard | www.mcglinchev.com | www.cafalawblog.com

From: Tim Baker [mailto:tbaker@timbakerlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 7:44 PM

To: Danner, Dwayne < <u>ddanner@mcglinchey.com</u>> **Cc:** McCain, Barry < <u>bmccain@mcglinchey.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Nicholson - Court Costs

Dwayne,

The copy costs are for copes of documents for service to the Court and/or Defendants specifically related to the state court proceeding. Ms. Nicholson has informed me she paid the mediation fee and did not receive a refund.

Regards,

Tim Baker

From: "Danner, Dwayne" < ddanner@mcglinchey.com>

To: Tim Baker < tbaker@timbakerlaw.com>

Cc: "McCain, Barry" < bmccain@mcglinchey.com>

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 5:09 PM

Subject: RE: Nicholson - Court Costs

Hi Tim. What is the state court copying fee, is that related to federal court? Also, on the mediation fee, do you represent that your client paid this and did not receive any refund on this fee?

R. Dwayne Danner

direct: (214) 445-2408 fax: (214) 593-4588 mobile: (214) 693-5433

email: ddanner@mcglinchey.com

office: 6688 N. Central Expressway, Ste 400 | Dallas, TX 75206

9 MgLinchey Stafford

bio | vcard | www.mcglinchey.com | www.cafalawblog.com

From: Tim Baker [mailto:tbaker@timbakerlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, December 04, 2017 1:45 PM

To: Danner, Dwayne < <u>ddanner@mcglinchey.com</u>> **Cc:** McCain, Barry < <u>bmccain@mcglinchey.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Nicholson - Court Costs

Dwayne,

Attached is a copy of the check she paid for mediation. Please let me know if you are now in agreement with my revised cost calculation below.

Regards,

Tim Baker 214-727-4956

From: "Danner, Dwayne" < ddanner@mcglinchey.com>

To: Tim Baker < tbaker@timbakerlaw.com >

Cc: "McCain, Barry" < bmccain@mcglinchey.com> Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 2:05 PM

Subject: RE: Nicholson - Court Costs

Hi Tim. My only question is does she have a receipt for the Steele mediation. I thought we paid most of that.

R. Dwayne Danner

direct: (214) 445-2408 fax: (214) 593-4588 mobile: (214) 693-5433

email: ddanner@mcglinchey.com

office: 6688 N. Central Expressway, Ste 400 | Dallas, TX 75206

9 MGLINCHEY STAFFORD

bio | vcard | www.mcglinchey.com | www.cafalawblog.com

From: Tim Baker [mailto:tbaker@timbakerlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 1:48 PM

To: Danner, Dwayne < ddanner@mcglinchev.com>

Cc: McCain, Barry < bmccain@mcglinchey.com >

Subject: Nicholson - Court Costs

Mr. Danner,

In my motion, we asked for the following court costs:

- 1. Plaintiff has incurred the following costs:
 - Filing Fees \$1,259.00 (\$249 or original filing fee, \$505 each for two Federal appeal filing fees)
 - Service of Process Fees \$332.00
 - Arbitration/Mediation Fees \$1,050.00 (\$1,000 for Ralph Steele and \$50 for DSR)
 - Required Federal Photocopying \$1,165.60
 - Required State District Court photocopying \$31.80
 - Court Report Fees \$160.00
 - PACER Fees \$659.00

Total Costs: \$4,657.40

We are now proposing:

- Filing Fees \$249
- Service of Process \$415 (as your count had one additional service of process fee)
- Mediation \$1,050
- State Court Copying \$31.80
- Court Reporter Fees \$160

Total - \$1,905.80.

Please let me know if you agree with this revised number.

Regards,

Tim Baker 214-727-4956

www.mcglinchey.com | www.CafaLawBlog.com

McGlinchey Stafford, PLLC in Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, New York, Ohio, Texas, and Washington DC and McGlinchey Stafford, LLP in California.

Confidentiality Statement: This email may contain attorney-client privileged or confidential information. It is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If you have received this transmission in error, immediately notify us by telephone at 504-586-1200 and return the original message to us at McGlinchey Stafford, 12th Floor, 601 Poydras Street, New Orleans, LA, 70130 via the United States Postal Service.

We take steps to remove metadata in attachments sent by email, and any remaining metadata should be presumed inadvertent and should not be viewed or used without our express permission. If you receive an attachment containing metadata, please notify the sender immediately and a replacement will be provided.

See McGlinchey Stafford Disclaimer/Privacy Policy http://www.mcglinchey.com/disclaimer/

Attachments

• image001.gif (4.33KB)

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 64048137

Status as of 4/29/2022 2:09 PM CST

Associated Case Party: HARRIETNICHOLSON

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Harriet Nicholson		save.my.home.in.2013@gmail.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Harriet Nicholson		harrietnicholson@yahoo.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Harriet Nicholson		nfenae@yahoo.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: THEBANK OF NEW YORK MELLON

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Dwayne Danner		ddanner@mcglinchey.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Angelia Foster		afoster@mcglinchey.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
CRYSTAL ROACH		crystalr@bdfgroup.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Holly Bishop		hbbishop@tarrantcounty.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Julia OBoyle		joboyle@mcglinchey.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: THENATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Matthew Durham	24040226	matthew.durham@citi.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Elayne Napoles		enapoles@mcguirewoods.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Matthew Durham		mdurham@mcguirewoods.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT
Cindy Boyd		cboyd@mcguirewoods.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: DAVIDSTOCKMAN

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 64048137

Status as of 4/29/2022 2:09 PM CST

Associated Case Party: DAVIDSTOCKMAN

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
crystal roach		crystalr@bdfgroup.com	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: THEHARVEY LAW GROUP

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
TATIANA ALEXANDER		TALEXANDER@MCGUIREWOODS.COM	4/29/2022 1:50:13 PM	SENT